
OUTSIDE EVALUATION FORM: PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR PERMIT FOR ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEYS OF UTAH LANDS

Reviewers Name:	
Title(s) of document(s) being reviewed:	

Instructions for reviewer: First, thank you for volunteering to assist with this applicant review. Enclosed please find a document(s) submitted by an applicant as evidence in lieu of a graduate degree. We are asking you to assist us in reviewing these documents to see if they meet the criteria outlined in Rule 694-1-4(b). Rule 694-1-4(b) states that this document(s) should demonstrate "... the ability to design and execute a research project in anthropology, archaeology, or history, including the collection and analysis of information, presentation of results in an approved and reviewed format, and the subsequent curation of specimens" PLPCO defines "Research project" as a project investigating a data gap in archaeology, anthropology or history that demonstrates the ability to formulate a hypothesis, apply appropriate scientific methods in the collection and analysis of information appropriate to testing that hypothesis, presentation of results in an approved and reviewed format, and subsequent curation of specimens. Please answer the following questions, shred the applicant's documents and return this form to David Yoder at davidyoder@utah.gov or

Public Lands Policy Coordination Office 5110 State Office Building P.O. Box 141107 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-1107.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact David at the email listed above or at 801-537-9014.



2) Project execution: Do the document(s) provided indicate the applicant's use of
appropriate scientific methods? Was the information presented in a clear and
understandable format?
and cite documentation.

3) Data collection: Did the applicant collect appropriate data to address the research		
question? Was the data collected using appropriate and current methods? Was the		
collection strategy statistically sound? Did the applicant clearly describe her/his		
methods? Yes OR No. Please select one, explain your answer, and cite		
documentation.		

4) Data analysis and curation: Did the applicant use appropriate analysis techniques
(i.e. chemical or physical analysis, statistical methods, etc.) to address the research
question? If appropriate, was the data prepared for curation and curated in an appropriate
facility? Yes OR No. Please select one, explain your answer, and cite
documentation.

Signature of Reviewer	Date	
select one, explain your answer, and cite documentation.		
Were the results presented in a format that advances kn archaeological, anthropological or historical communit		
investigation and discuss the results in terms of the research question and future research?		
5) Presentation of results: Did the applicant clearly present the results of the		